Haemoglobin modulates salicylate and jasmonate/ethylene-mediated resistance mechanisms against pathogens
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Abstract

Nitric oxide (NO) plays a role in defence against hemibiotrophic pathogens mediated by salicylate (SA) and also necrotrophic pathogens influenced by jasmonate/ethylene (JA/Et). This study examined how NO-oxidizing haemoglobins (Hb) encoded by GLB1, GLB2, and GLB3 in Arabidopsis could influence both defence pathways. The impact of Hb on responses to the hemibiotrophic Pseudomonas syringae pathovar tomato (Pst) AvrRpm1 and the necrotrophic Botrytis cinerea were investigated using glb1, glb2, and glb3 mutant lines and also CaMV 35S GLB1 and GLB2 overexpression lines. In glb1, but not glb2 and glb3, increased resistance was observed to both pathogens but was compromised in the 35S-GLB1. A quantum cascade laser-based sensor measured elevated NO production in glb1 infected with Pst AvrRpm1 and B. cinerea, which was reduced in 35S-GLB1 compared to Col-0. SA accumulation was increased in glb1 and reduced in 35S-GLB1 compared to controls following attack by Pst AvrRpm1. Similarly, JA and Et levels were increased in glb1 but decreased in 35S-GLB1 in response to attack by B. cinerea. Quantitative PCR assays indicated reduced GLB1 expression during challenge with either pathogen, thus this may elevate NO concentration and promote a wide-ranging defence against pathogens.
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Introduction

Induced resistance to plant pathogens is based on recognition events involving pathogen (microbial)-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs/MAMPs), pathogen-delivered effectors (Thomma et al., 2011), or plant cell-wall fragment defences (Denoux et al., 2008; Galletti et al., 2011). Variation in elicitation events and pathogenic mechanisms leads to two major types of plant defence response. Classification of these responses is, somewhat crudely based into those mediated by salicylic acid (SA) or those dually influenced by jasmonate (JA)/ethylene (Et) (Pieterse and Van Loon, 2004). SA-mediated defences are prominent against (hemibiotrophic pathogens that undergo a subtle interaction with the host, variously involving aspects such as the production of non-necrotizing toxins or distinct infection structures such as the fungal haustorium (O’Connell and Panstruga, 2006). SA has many roles in plant stress responses including the hypersensitive response (HR), a form of host programmed cell death – which can be triggered following plant recognition via a resistance gene product of avirulence (Avr) gene product encoded by the pathogen. In contrast, JA/Et acts in necrotrophic pathogens where rapid tissue maceration or pathogen-regulated host cell death are prominent infection mechanisms, although these do not preclude the exhibition of subtle responses during the interaction (Oliver and Solomon, 2004).

Over the last decade, nitric oxide has emerged as a major signal in plant defence against pathogens. It has established roles in influencing the HR form of cell death against bacterial pathogens.
meristems, and at lateral root branch points (Hebelstrup et al., 2001). Previous studies have shown that GLB1, a truncated globin with a 2-on-2 α-helical sandwich (Wittenberg et al., 1995; Hargrove et al., 2000). Class 3 has isoforms with a 3-on-3 α-helical sandwich embracing a haem-group (Harutyunyan et al., 1995; Hargrove et al., 2000). Tobacco flavohaemoglobin genes from either Sinorhizobium or tobacco necrosis virus (Seregelyes et al., 2003). Some groups have used transgenic Arabidopsis lines overexpressing bacterial Hmp flavohaemoglobin genes from either Escherichia coli or Erwinia chrysanthemi (Zieer et al., 2004; Bocarra et al., 2005). At that time, due to the absence of well-characterized NO generation mutants, Hmp transgens were used to unequivocally demonstrate that NO influenced the HR (Zieer et al., 2004; Bocarra et al., 2005). Given these data, it was perhaps surprising that in transgenic plants overexpressing Arabidopsis Hb1, the major NO scavenging form, a HR elicited by the bacterial pathogen P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst) AvrRpm1 was not affected (Perazzolli et al., 2004). However, in this study, it should be noted that cell death was only assessed using trypan blue staining and that production was only qualitatively assessed at one earily time point (2 h) using the dye 4-amino-2,7′-diluorescein.

This study presents a more extensive characterization of the effects of different Hb genes during pathogenic challenge in Arabidopsis, incorporating on-line, quantitative measurements of NO production. GLB1 expression is suppressed rapidly in response to P. syringae and more slowly following inoculation with B. cinerea and in both cases this is likely to potentiate NO effects on both SA- and JA/Et-mediated defences.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Plants were cultivated in Levington Universal compost in trays with 24 compartment inserts. Plants were maintained in Conviron growth rooms (Controlled Environments, UK) at 24 °C with a light intensity of 110 μmol/m/s and an 8/16 light/dark cycle for 4 weeks. For ease of treatment, plants were transferred to Polysac growth rooms (Polysac Cold Rooms, UK) and maintained under the same conditions. Aerial plant parts were treated at the fully expanded rosette stage at 5 weeks (stage 3.7 as defined by Boyes et al. (2001). All of the genotypes were of identical size expected for glb1 which were slightly smaller (Supplementary Fig. S1, available at JXB online) but exhibited no evidence of necrotic flecking which was visible to the naked eye. Plants used for NO and Et measurements were transported to Radboud University, The Netherlands via road and car ferry by the authors. Plants were then kept at Radboud University for 2 days under identical growth conditions as at Aberystwyth to allow plant physiology to normalize prior to gas measurements being attempted.

Pseudomonas syringae culture and inoculation

Arabidopsis plants of various genotypes were inoculated with avirulent P. syringae pv. tomato (Pst) strain DC3000 AvrRpm1 or the disease-forming Pst as described previously (Mur et al., 2000) using inocula of 2 × 10^6 bacterial cells/ml 10 mM MgCl2. Mock-inoculated controls consisted of injecting leaves with 10 mM MgCl2. Bacterial inoculations for NO or Et measurements involved vacuum infiltration of six 5-week-old short-day (8 h light period) Arabidopsis rosettes (excised just above the soil line) in plants treated with 2 × 10^6 bacterial cells/ml and 10 mM MgCl2 or 10 mM MgCl2 alone (mock inoculation). Rosettes were immersed in a bell vacuum infiltrator and a vacuum applied for 5 min. The vacuum was carefully released during which the intracellular spaces of the leaves were observed to become filled with bacterial suspension or 10 mM MgCl2 alone as appropriate. The rosettes were then quickly dried with a paper towel and then enclosed within a cuvette so...
that measurement could commence. The mass of plant material used was in the range of 4–6 g per cuvette.

B. cinerea culture and inoculation

This study used the grape B. cinerea isolate IMI169558 (Thomma et al., 1997). B. cinerea was cultured and harvested as stated in Johnson et al. (2007) and diluted to a concentration of 1 × 10^6 spores/ml in potato dextrose broth (PDB, Formedium, UK). For assessments of infection phenotypes, single leaves (leaf stage 7 or 8 as defined by Boyes et al., 2001) were inoculated with 5 μl of spore suspension, pipetted onto the adaxial surface of the leaf. Controls were inoculated with PDB. Plants remained under Stewart Micropropagators to sustain a relative humidity of 50–80% and lightly watered every 24 h. For the NO and Et measurements, 1 × 10^6 spores/ml suspension or PDB controls were sprayed onto a whole plant to run off.

Estimations of electrolyte leakage and in planta bacterial populations

Cell death was estimated by electrolyte leakage in 1-cm-diameter cores as described in Mur et al. (2000).

Scoring B. cinerea lesion phenotypes

A weighted scoring method was used to categorize B. cinerea lesion phenotypes (Lloyd et al., 2011). Susceptible symptoms (water-soaking, chlorosis, and spreading necrosis) were conferred a range of negative scores and the resistant symptoms (necrosis limited to inoculation site) were given positive scores. A weighted score could be produced arithmetically from the lesion scores of replicates.

Salicylic acid and jasmonic acid measurements

SA and JA concentrations in samples were determined by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometer (LC-MS) using the Micromass LCT–Time of Flight, as described in Clarke et al. (2006), respectively. Absolute SA and JA concentrations were derived from the lesion scores of replicates.

Ethylene measurements using photoacoustic laser spectroscopy

Ethylene production was monitored in real time using a gas flow-through in-line system fitted with a photoacoustic laser-based ethylene detector (ETD-300, Sensor Sense), which is able to detect on-line 300 parts per trillion volume of ethylene within 5 s (Cristescu et al., 2008). Gas was regulated by an automated valve control box (VC-6, Sensor Sense). Ethylene emanation from a single inoculated rosette within a glass cuvette (~500 ml) was alternately monitored for 15 min (5 s per acquisition point) at a controlled continuous flow rate of 1.5 l h^-1 by flushing with air and preventing accumulation-induced effects. KOH and CaCl₂ scrubbers were incorporated into the system to remove CO₂ and H₂O respectively. As assays of Et production from Arabidopsis plants inoculated with B. cinerea involved measuring both the plant and the 18-cm³ module with Levington Universal compost, a reference cuvette was set up containing only a module of compost. The negligible level of ethylene produced from this compost was subtracted from experimental cuvettes. Each experiment was repeated to give similar results and the outcomes of one representative experiment are shown.

Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance using MiniTab version 14, after which residual plots were inspected to confirm data conformed to normality.

Results

Resistance to P. syringae is influenced by GLB1

These investigations into the role of Hb during pathogen challenge in Arabidopsis were based on the RNAi-suppressed line glb1, the glb2 Spm mutant (Hebelstrup et al., 2006), a glb3 T-DNA tagged line (Wang et al., 2011), and also CaMY 35S-GLB1 and 35S-GLB2 overexpression lines (Hebelstrup and Jensen, 2008; Supplementary Fig. S3). Where described collectively, these will be referred to as Glb lines. Each Glb line and Col-0 controls were challenged with the virulent P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst) and the HR-eliciting strain P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 AvrRpm1 (Pst AvrRpm1). With inoculation
of *Pst*, typical chlorotic symptoms were observed at 48 h post inoculation (hpi) in Col-0 but were noticeably reduced in *glb1* and accentuated in 35S-GLB1 where greater cell death was observed (Fig. 1A). With inoculation of *Pst AvrRpm1* at 48 hpi, cellular collapse was observed with no observable differences between each *Arabidopsis* line (Fig. 1A) which were in agreement with the observations of Perazzolli et al. (2004). Following inoculation with *Pst AvrRpm1*, no observable change in HR forming in *glb1* and 35S-GLB1 compared to that in the wild-type line. Following careful demarcation of the area of inoculation, this study found no evidence of altered lesion spread in the Glb lines (data not shown). Phenotypes in *glb2*, *glb3*, and 35S-GLB2, following inoculation with either *Pst* strain, were identical to those in Col-0 (data not shown).

Bacterial population sizes with the inoculated leaves were assessed at 48 hpi (Fig. 1B and C). The numbers of *Pst AvrRpm1* and *Pst* in *glb1* were significantly reduced and increased in 35S-GLB1 significantly. With all other genotypes, bacterial numbers were not significantly different to those in Col-0. The kinetics of cell death were quantified using electrolyte leakage (Fig. 1D and E). When inoculating with either *Pst AvrRpm1* or *Pst*, cell death was increased in *glb1* and decreased...
in 35S-GLB1. Electrolyte leakage did not significantly differ from Col-0 in Pst and Pst AvrRpm1 inoculations of glb2, glb3, and 35S-GLB2 (data not shown). These data were consistent with GLB1 expression influencing both HR-associated resistance and basal defences deployed during disease development.

Modification of GLB expression can influence plant-derived NO production

Since Hb has a well-established NO dioxygenase activity (Gupta et al., 2011b), and to focus on HR-linked defence, this study sought to relate observed responses to Pst AvrRpm1 in glb1 and 35S-GLB1 to NO production. NO production was determined using a QCL, the underlying principles of which and its use to measure plant-derived NO have been recently described (Yordanova et al., 2010; Mur et al., 2011). As noted previously (Mur et al., 2006) in Col-0, NO generation was rapidly initiated and achieved maximal rates of production at 1–2 hpi (Fig. 2A). NO production from mock-inoculated uninfected glb1, but not glb2 (or glb3 plants, data not shown) was significantly increased compared with Col-0 and, in the case of glb1, was produced at similar rates as during the HR in Col-0. Following challenge of glb1 with Pst AvrRpm1, NO production was approximately double that seen with Col-0, but in glb2, the patterns of NO production did not differ from Col-0. Measuring NO in Pst-challenged Arabidopsis (Supplementary Fig. S4A). Increased NO production was not observed until after 6 hpi with Col-0 and was markedly reduced in 35S-GLB1 but increased in glb1. This correlated with the patterns of symptom development (Fig. 1A).
The expression of GLB1 (Fig. 2B) and GLB2 (Fig. 2C) was assessed in Col-0 at 24 h after inoculation with Pst AvrRpm1 by qRT-PCR. Inoculation with Pst AvrRpm1 significantly reduced GLB1 compared to controls within 6 hpi and was barely detectable by 24 hpi (Fig. 2B). GLB2 expression did not significantly differ from controls throughout the first 24 hpi with Pst AvrRpm1 (Fig. 2C).

NO contributes to salicylic acid-mediated defence potentiation in HR

Increased Hb expression has been shown to suppress pathogen-initiated SA accumulation (Seregelyes et al., 2003). Thus, this study sought to relate GLB1-associated effects to SA accumulation in response to Pst AvrRpm1 at 48 hpi (Fig. 1B). SA concentrations in Pst AvrRpm1-challenged glb1 were significantly elevated and reduced in 35S-GLB1. SA accumulation in mock-inoculated glb1 was also increased compared to Col-0 (Fig. 3A).

Both NO and SA are required to enhance Et biosynthesis during a P. s. pv. phaseolicola-elicited HR in tobacco (Mur et al., 2008) so Et production was measured in Pst AvrRpm1-challenged Arabidopsis Col-0, glb1, and 35S-GLB1 using laser photoacoustic detection. Challenge of Col-0 led to the biphasic rise in Et production (Mur et al., 2009) and both peaks were increased in glb1 (Fig. 3B) but decreased in 35S-GLB1 (Fig. 3C). Hence, one effect of increased NO accumulation in glb1 may be SA-influenced Et production. To substantiate this observation, this study examined SA and Et levels in Pst-challenged plants (Supplementary Fig. S4B and C). In glb1, Pst-elicited SA accumulation (Supplementary Fig. S4B) and ethylene production (Supplementary Fig. S4C) were increased, which correlated with the increased resistance to this virulent strain observed on this plant genotype (Fig. 1).

Resistance to the necrotrophic fungus B. cinerea is influenced by GLB1

Et plays a minor role in resistance to Pst AvrRpm1 (Mur et al., 2009), unlike the situation following challenge with B. cinerea where it is a major defence determinant (Thomma et al., 1997). Inoculations with B. cinerea are carried out by drop inoculation on to adaxial surfaces so that the degree of host resistance is indicated by the size of lesion and the speed with which the pathogen penetrates through to the abaxial surface (Fig. 4A). At 72 hpi, lesion development in glb1 was markedly delayed compared to controls, with little penetration through to the abaxial surface. In 35S-GLB1 plants, abaxial penetration was rapid and whole leaf collapse was evident (Fig. 4A). A semi-quantitative scoring scheme has recently been developed to describe B. cinerea lesion development (Lloyd et al., 2011), which facilitates comparisons between responses to infection in different genotypes (Fig. 4B). This comparison included the NR Col-0 nia1 mutant, which is most compromised in NO generation (Neill et al., 2008; Miao et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2011). Also included was the L er nia2 mutant which is mutated in the gene encoding the most active form of NR but apparently is not a major source of NO (Neill et al., 2008). Lesion scores at 24, 48, and 72 hpi were identical in Col-0 and glb3, further suggesting that GLB3 does not play an observable role in plant defence. In contrast, the glb1 line was the most resistant phenotype and 35S-GLB1 the most susceptible line, whilst responses in nia1 and glb2 were very similar. In line with the negligible effect of NIA2 in NO-mediated events, lesions in nia2 and L er were identical.

To further quantify the differences in B. cinerea lesion development amongst the Glb lines, cell death was estimated by electrolyte leakage in explants (Fig. 4C). Compared to Col-0 controls, glb1, but not glb2, glb3 or 35S-GLB2, electrolyte leakage was significantly increased at both 24 and 48 hpi. Electrolyte leakage was also significantly increased in 35S-GLB1 but this may reflect the rapid fungal penetration in this line (Fig. 4A). The equivocal nature of such results limited the value of the electrolyte leakage assay with B. cinerea inoculations of Glb lines.

NO potentiates ethylene- and jasmonic acid-mediated plant defence against B. cinerea

As above (Fig. 2), the observed changes in lesion development were related to patterns of NO generation. Using QCL, NO production was determined from Col-0, glb1 (Fig. 5A), and 35S-GLB1 (Fig. 5B) challenged with B. cinerea compared to uninfected controls. Spraying plants with B. cinerea led to rapid production of NO in Col-0, achieving similar levels to those seen with control glb1 plants. NO production in B. cinerea-infected glb1 was significantly higher and in 35S-GLB1 significantly lower than in infected wild-type controls. GLB1 (Fig. C) and GLB2 (Fig. 5D) expression in response to B. cinerea was investigated using qRT-PCR. At 48 h, GLB1 expression was reduced in B. cinerea-inoculated samples but GLB2 was increased compared to mock-inoculated controls.

Et synthesis was determined in Col-0, glb1, and 35S-GLB1 following inoculation with B. cinerea using laser photoacoustic detection (Fig. 6A). In all genotypes, a minor, transient rise in Et biosynthesis was observed 3–6 hpi only to increase for a second time at ~5–7 hpi. In Col-0 and glb1, this rise in Et production persisted for at least 12 h before subsiding. In 35S-GLB1, the second increase in Et generation greatly reduced. As Et acts with JA in conferring resistance to B. cinerea (Thomma et al., 1997), the concentration of JA was measured in Col-0, glb1, and 35S-GLB1 at 48 hpi (Fig. 6B). JA accumulation in mock-inoculated and inoculated 35S-GLB1 did not appear to significantly differ from equivalent treatments in Col-0. However, in B. cinerea-inoculated glb1 JA accumulation was significantly increased over infected Col-0.

Discussion

GLB1 negatively affects plant responses to pathogens

Over the last decade, NO has emerged as a major signal that mediates plant defence against pathogens. Previously, plant lines overexpressing bacterial flavohaemoglobin genes have been used as a means of demonstrating the importance of NO to the system under investigation, whether defence (Zeier et al., 2004; Bocarra et al., 2005), senescence (Mishina et al., 2007),
or UV-B protection (Tossi et al., 2011). In contrast, when Perazzolli et al. (2004) overexpressed endogenous Hb in Arabidopsis, no effect on a HR elicited by Pst AvrRpm1 was observed. However, in Perazzolli’s study, both cell death and NO production were qualitatively estimated at a single time point. Thus, the present study embarked on a fuller, quantitative assessment of the potential roles of Hb in plant–pathogen interactions, focusing on defences employed against a hemibiotrophic bacterial pathogen Pst AvrRpm1 and the necrotrophic fungus B. cinerea.

This study used a variety of different Arabidopsis lines with silencing, overexpression, or mutation of the three Hb genes GLB1, GLB2, and GLB3. No GLB1 loss-of-function mutant has been identified in any mutation-tagging studies, suggesting that such a mutation is lethal. Instead a previously described silencing
line, where gene expression level is limited to 2–3% of that of the wild-type line, was used (Hebelstrup et al., 2006). A number of developmental phenotypes, which may interfere indirectly with pathogen susceptibility, have been described for this line (Hebelstrup et al., 2006; Hebelstrup and Jensen, 2008). However, most of these phenotypes disappear when the plants are grown under short-day conditions, as done in this study, where the only difference is a small decrease in size of the glb1 line (Supplementary Fig. S3). Interestingly, the mock-inoculated glb1-suppressed line emitted a constant level of NO which was elevated compared to controls and which also featured to a lesser extent with the glb2 line. This latter observation is in line with the previous observation that GLB2 is less important for NO removal compared to GLB1 and that basal production of NO requires removal for efficient plant growth (Hebelstrup et al., 2006; Hebelstrup and Jensen, 2008).

To ascertain the relative roles of each individual Hb gene on NO removal, the corresponding suppressed lines were challenged with virulent Pst stains and HR-eliciting Pst AvrRpm1 (Fig. 1). As suggested by Perazzolli et al. (2004), the HR phenotype elicited by Pst AvrRpm1 did not appear to differ between controls and Glb modulated lines. However, quantitative estimates of cell death using electrolyte leakage as well as of bacterial numbers suggested that, in GLB1-suppressed lines, resistance and cell death in response to both strains were significantly enhanced. Phenotypes obtained following inoculation of the Glb lines with Pst AvrRpm1 correlated with the observed rates of NO production. Thus, for example, overproduction of GLB1 and to a lesser extent GLB2 could suppress NO production (Fig. 2A) and this was associated with reduced host cell death and increased bacterial numbers, reaffirming the role of NO in resistance against Pst strains.

Whilst the regulation of NO is undoubtedly important to defence, the qRT-PCR data suggested that, with Pst AvrRpm1-inoculated Arabidopsis at least, modulation of Hb expression can also influence NO levels (Fig. 3A and 3D). Within 6 hpi with Pst AvrRpm1, GLB1 expression was significantly reduced in Col-0 and nearly undetectable by 24 hpi, suggesting that in a natural

![Fig. 4. Haemoglobin effects on Botrytis cinerea interactions with Arabidopsis.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/)

(A) Lesion development in Arabidopsis Col-0, the haemoglobin (Hb) GLB1 RNAi-suppressed line glb1, and the GLB1 overexpression line 35S-GLB1 at 72 h post-inoculation (hpi) with B. cinerea strain IMI169558. Attached leaves were inoculated on the adaxial side with 5 μl of 1 × 10⁷ spores ml⁻¹ in potato dextrose broth. Leaves were detached only for photography and representative lesion phenotypes on the adaxial and abaxial sides are shown. (B) B. cinerea lesion phenotypes occurring in Col-0, the glb1 RNAi-suppressed line, the Hb glb2 and glb3 mutants, and the 35S-GLB1 and -GLB2 overexpression lines and also the nitrate reductase mutants nia1 and nia2 (Landsberg erecta [L er] is included because the nia2 mutant is derived from this ecotype), scored at 24, 48, and 72 hpi according to Lloyd et al. (2011): see Materials and Methods. A heat map and dendogram was derived to show the relationships between patterns of lesion development. (C) Electrolyte leakage from 1-cm-diameter discs sampled from leaves of Col-0, glb1, glb2, glb3, 35S-GLB1, or 35S-GLB2 following inoculation with B. cinerea (filled bars) or mock inoculated (open bars) with potato dextrose broth. Results are mean ± SE (n = 6). Levels of significant differences to results equivalent treatments of Col-0 are indicated: NS, no significant difference; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.
avirulent *Pst–Arabidopsis* Col-0 interaction GLB1 expression is suppressed in a manner analogous to the *glb1* mutant with, presumably, comparable effects on NO accumulation. As GLB2 expression was not significantly affected throughout the time course, it was unlikely that the observed reduction of GLB1 expression was due either to plant cell collapse during the HR or to global changes in gene expression.

The data for GLB1 expression following inoculation with *B. cinerea* indicated that suppression was not observed until 48 hpi, when necrosis at the infection site is first evident (Lloyd et al., 2011). This suppression clearly did not match the observed patterns of NO production (Fig. 5A) and it may be that it reflected different kinetics when spotting *Botrytis* on *Arabidopsis* as opposed to spraying. Unfortunately, it did not prove possible to detect NO production from spot *Botrytis*-inoculated *Arabidopsis* plants (data not shown). As infections of 35S-GLB1 with *Botrytis* were markedly affected (Fig. 4A), this later GLB1 suppression may act to increase NO production to aid in confining the pathogen to the site of inoculation. Indeed, with the 35S-GLB1 line, *Botrytis* was less well confined to the point of inoculation (Fig. 4A).

Some indirect evidence could suggest that NO plays no role in resistance to *B. cinerea*. Thus, Benito et al. (2010) demonstrated that a *Botrytis* Hb mutant was not affected in its ability to infect its host. Further, *B. cinerea* also transiently produces NO early in its infection process (Benito et al., 2010). Indeed, it may be that the fungus responds to external, *in planta*-generated NO to promote pathogenesis of host tissue (Turrion-Gomez and Benito, 2011). This offers an apparently contradictory scenario where NO is being used by the host for defence and by the pathogen to promote virulence. Rationalization of these disparate data may require careful spatiotemporal measurement of...

**Fig. 5.** Nitric oxide production from *Arabidopsis* lines with modified haemoglobin expression on inoculation with *Botrytis cinerea*. (A and B) NO production was determined from *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and the haemoglobin (Hb) GLB1 RNAi-suppressed *Arabidopsis* line *glb1* or the GLB1 overexpression lines 35S-GLB1 following inoculation with *B. cinerea* strain IMI169558 or mock inoculated with potato dextrose broth (PDB). NO was determined using a quantum cascade laser system. Results in Fig. 5A are derived from two experiments conducted within a 48-h period and in Fig. 5B represent the pooling of two similarly contemporaneous experiments undertaken 1 week after those represented in Fig. 5A, albeit with equivalently aged plants. Results are mean ± SE (n = 3) except for controls, where only the result from a single replicate is depicted. ANOVA of NO production from *B. cinerea*-infected *glb1* and 35S-GLB1 suggested significant differences (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively) to measurements from wild-type plants. (C and D) Quantitative real-time PCR was used to assess gene expression 48 h post inoculation in three biological replicates with either *B. cinerea* (filled bars) or mock inoculation (PDB; open bars) of GLB1 (C) and GLB2 (D). Results are mean ± SE of three PCR replicates. Levels of significant difference to mock-inoculated controls at the same time point are indicated: NS, no significant difference; *P* < 0.05; **P** < 0.01; ***P*** < 0.001.
NO concentrations, as the relative concentration of NO could play a vital role in governing its action (Lamattina and Beligni, 2001; Turrion-Gomez and Benito, 2011). It may be that altered host GLB1 expression at specific points in the infection process could play a role in favour of defence over promotion of pathogen invasiveness.

**NO is a potentiator of both SA- and Et/JA-associated defences**

A central event in the potentiation of defence and cell death against bacterial pathogens is the initiation of SA biosynthesis (Mur et al., 2000). The initiation of SA biosynthesis by NO is a well-established event (Klessig et al., 1998; Zottini et al., 2007), so unsurprisingly, SA levels were increased in glb1 and decreased in 3SS-GLB1 following inoculation with *Pst AvrRpm1* (Fig. 3A). Such SA production has previously been linked with a potentiated oxidative burst (Mur et al., 2000), but establishing the in planta kinetics of the oxidative burst in *Arabidopsis* is technically demanding. The use of the H₂O₂-detecting stain 3,3-diaminobenzidine did not suggest any changes in *Pst AvrRpm1*-challenged *glb1* or 3SS-GLB1 compared to Col-0 (data not shown). However, the kinetics of Et production shares many features of the oxidative burst (Mur et al., 2008, 2009). The first transient phase of Et is PAMPs-elicited whilst the second persistent rise was seen only with HR-eliciting bacteria. Further, Et production was potentiated by SA (Mur et al., 2008). The current study observed increased Et production in *glb1* but this was greatly compromised in 3SS-GLB1 (Fig. 3C). The mammalian NOS inhibitor L-NAME was previously used to demonstrate a link between bacterially elicited NO and Et production (Mur et al., 2008). However, those experiments failed to suppress the first transient rise in Et production leading to the hypothesis that this could be influenced by other signals, particularly reactive oxygen species (ROS). However, in *glb1*, both the transient and persistent rise in Et production was augmented and, crucially, both were compromised in 3SS-GLB1, which demonstrates the limitations of using L-NAME in plant studies. Somewhat surprisingly, Qu et al. (2006) noted that overexpression of a cotton Hb gene in *Arabidopsis* led to enhanced disease resistance to pathogenic challenge and the activation of defence genes PR1 and PDF1.2. This contrasts with the well-established role of NO.
...in the initiation of SA biosynthesis for which PRI is a well-established gene marker (Klessig et al., 1998), because Hb overexpression leads to scavenging of NO, as demonstrated in this work and several previous studies (Hebelstrup et al., 2007). This could indicate that cotton Hb can have distinctive effects than scavenging of NO when expressed in Arabidopsis. Equally, it should be noted that no NO measurements were done by Qu et al. (2006).

Et is much more prominent in conferring resistance to B. cinerea than to Pst AvrRpm1 (Thomma et al., 1997). The current measurements established that the degree of resistance correlated with the NO and Et rates of production (Fig 4A, Fig. 5A and 5B). Interestingly, Et production was more rapid in these experiments than in Lloyd et al. (2011). As suggested above for NO production, this may indicate that applying fungal spore suspensions resulted in more rapid responses than simply spotting the pathogen on to the leaf surface as in Lloyd et al. (2011). JA accumulation in glb1 and 35S-GLB1 suggested that, following challenge with B. cinerea, JA accumulation correlated with the rate of NO production (Fig. 6). Thus, NO seems likely to contribute to both Et and JA defences against necrotrophs. This situation appears different to that in Nicotinia attenuata grazed by tobacco hornworm (Medica sexta). Silencing of NOA1, a gene linked with NO generation (Guo and Crawford, 2005) in N. attenuata increased JA biosynthesis during M. sexta feeding (Wunsche et al., 2011a,b). Similarly, silencing S-nitrosoglutathione reductase (GSNOR), which removes NO-derived S-nitrosylaten adducts, so that the effects of NO would be amplified, less JA biosynthesis was observed in N. attenuata on feeding with M. sexta (Wunsche et al., 2011a). Although these observations appear at odds with the current results obtained using B. cinerea and Arabidopsis (Fig. 6B), it may be that specific pest/pathogen and host species interactions influence the outcome of NO-JA interactions.

The role of ROS during NO-Et/JA interactions following attack with Botrytis also requires consideration. Asai and Yoshioka (2009) demonstrated how NO acting with SA is important in suppressing B. cinerea disease development in Nicotiana benthamiana. In contrast, ROS production actively aided disease progression. Similarly, Govrin and Levine (2000) showed that host ROS generation is an important determinant of B. cinerea virulence. Thus, NO could reduce B. cinerea aggressiveness by reducing H2O2 production. Indeed, Malolepsza and Rozalska (2005) observed that NO reduced H2O2 concentration in tomato infected with B. cinerea. When the current study attempted to assess ROS levels in Col-0, glb1, and 35S-GLB1 lines with B. cinerea using 3,3-diaminobenzidine staining, no differences could be detected (data not shown) but this could reflect the insensitivity of this assay for ROS.

Taking all of these observations together suggests that NO acts as a broadly acting defence initiator which acts to potentiate multiple signalling pathways. Further, NO production is regulated at least in part through modulated host Hb expression.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at JXB online. Supplementary Fig. S1. Arabidopsis rosettes used to assess trace gas emissions following pathogen attack.

Supplementary Fig. S2. The quantum cascade laser-based sensor adapted for nitric oxide detection.

Supplementary Fig. S3. GLB1 and GLB2 expression in the overexpression lines.

Supplementary Fig. S4. Nitric oxide, salicylic acid, and ethylene production and from Arabidopsis lines with modified haemoglobin expression on inoculation with Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato.
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