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Biphasic ethylene production during the hypersensitive response in Arabidopsis

A window into defense priming mechanisms?
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The hypersensitive response (HR) is a cell death phenomenon associated with localized resistance to pathogens. Biphasic patterns in the generation of H$_2$O$_2$, salicylic acid and ethylene have been observed in tobacco during the early stages of the HR. These biphasic models reflect an initial elicitation by pathogen-associated molecular patterns followed by a second phase, induced by pathogen-encoded avirulence gene products. The first phase has been proposed to potentiate the second, to increase the efficacy of plant resistance to disease. This potentiation is comparable to the “priming” of plant defenses which is seen when plants display systemic resistance to disease. The events regulating the generation of the biphasic wave, or priming, remains obscure, however recently we demonstrated a key role for nitric oxide in this process in a HR occurring in tobacco. Here we use laser photoacoustic detection to demonstrate that biphasic ethylene production also occurs during a HR occurring in Arabidopsis. We suggest that ethylene emanation during the HR represents a ready means of visualising biphasic events during the HR and that exploiting the genomic resources offered by this model species will facilitate the development of a mechanistic understanding of potentiating/priming processes.

The Hypersensitive Response (HR) is a cell death process which occurs at the site of attempted pathogen attack and which has been associated with host resistance. 1 Much work on the regulation of the HR has indicated the importance of H$_2$O$_2$, 2 and NO. 3 A feature of H$_2$O$_2$ generation during the HR is its biphasic pattern (Fig. 1A). The first rise reflects elicitation by pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) 4 and the second reflects the interaction between a pathogen-encoded avirulence (avr) gene product with a plant resistance (R) gene. A key aspect of the first rise is the initiation of salicylic acid (SA) synthesis which potentiates the second rise and hence the potency of plant defense and the HR. 5

This potentiation mechanism appears to be similar to defense priming; when whole plants display systemic resistance to disease as opposed to a localized resistance against pathogens. Priming can be initiated (the “primary stimulus”) following attack with a necrotizing pathogen (leading to “systemic acquired resistance”, SAR) or non-pathogenic rhizosphere bacteria (to confer “induced systemic resistance”, ISR). In the primed state a plant stimulates a range of plant defense genes, produces anti-microbial phytoalexins and deposits cell wall strengthening molecules, but only on imposition of a “secondary stimulus”. 6 Such secondary stimuli include SA 3 or PAMPs 7 and is likely to be mechanistically similar to the potentiation step in the biphasic pattern of H$_2$O$_2$ generation (shaded in Fig. 1A). Accordingly, the two phases in the biphasic wave represent primary and secondary stimuli in priming.

Highlighting a similarity between local HR-based events and priming, adds further impetus to efforts aiming to describe the underlying mechanism(s), however both phenomena remain poorly understood. Besides SA, both jasmonates and abscisic acid (ABA) have been shown to prime defenses as have a range of non-plant chemicals, with β-aminobutyric acid (BABA) being perhaps most widely used. 6,8 Mutants which fail to exhibit BABA-mediated potentiation were defective in either a cyclin-dependent kinase-like protein, a polyphosphoinositide phosphatase or an ABA biosynthetic enzyme. 8

We have recently investigated biphasic ethylene production during the HR in tobacco elicited by the nonhost HR-eliciting bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola. 9 As with H$_2$O$_2$ generation, this pattern reflected PAMP- and AVR-dependent elicitation events and included a SA-mediated potentiation stage. Crucially, we also showed that NO was a vital component in the SA-potentiation mechanism. When this finding is integrated with...
Biphasic generation of defense signals

Figure 1. Patterns of defense signal generation during the Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola elicited-hypersensitive response in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum). Generation of (A) H$_2$O$_2$ (●, Mur$^{18}$); (B) nitric oxide (◇, Mur$^{12}$); (C) salicylic acid (SA, ■$^{19}$) and (D) ethylene (○, Mur$^{9}$) during a HR elicited by Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola (Psph) in tobacco cv. Samsun NN. In (A) a phase where SA acts to augment the second rise in H$_2$O$_2$—the potentiation phase—is highlighted. The potentiation phase is likely to be similar to defense “priming”.$^6$ Methodological details are contained within the appropriate references. (E) A possible model for biphasic defense signal regulation during the Psph-elicited HR in tobacco. During an initial phase NO and H$_2$O$_2$ act to initiate SA biosynthesis, where SA and NO act to initiate a “H$_2$O$_2$ biphasic switch”. This could initially suppress both SA and the H$_2$O$_2$ generation but subsequently acts to potentiate a second phase of H$_2$O$_2$ generation. This in turn increases SA biosynthesis which could act with NO to initiate the “C$_2$H$_4$ biphasic switch” to potentiate ethylene production. These (and other) signals contribute to initiation of the HR and SAR.

our other measurements of defense signal generation in the same host-pathogen system the complexity in the signaling network is revealed (Fig. 1). NO generation (Fig. 1B) appeared to be coincident with the first rise in H$_2$O$_2$ (Fig. 1A) which initiated SA biosynthesis$^{10,11}$ and together would contribute to the first small, but transient, rise in that hormone (Fig. 1C). In line with established models$^{5}$ this momentary rise in SA coincides with the potentiation phase (shaded in Fig. 1A) required to augment the second rise in H$_2$O$_2$—the potentiation phase is likely to be similar to defense “priming”.$^6$ Methodological details are contained within the appropriate references. (E) A possible model for biphasic defense signal regulation during the Psph-elicited HR in tobacco. During an initial phase NO and H$_2$O$_2$ act to initiate SA biosynthesis, where SA and NO act to initiate a “H$_2$O$_2$ biphasic switch”. This could initially suppress both SA and the H$_2$O$_2$ generation but subsequently acts to potentiate a second phase of H$_2$O$_2$ generation. This in turn increases SA biosynthesis which could act with NO to initiate the “C$_2$H$_4$ biphasic switch” to potentiate ethylene production. These (and other) signals contribute to initiation of the HR and SAR.

Thus, ethylene production represents a readily assayable marker to indicate perturbations in the underlying biphasic and possible priming mechanisms. As we have demonstrated, laser photoacoustic detection (LAPD) is a powerful on-line approach to determine planta ethylene production in tobacco$^{9,12}$ but any mechanistic investigations would be greatly facilitated if the genetic resources offered by the model species Arabidopsis could be exploited.

To address this, Arabidopsis Col-0 rosettes were vacuum infiltrated with either Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato (Pst) avrRpm1 (HR-eliciting), the virulent Pst strain and the non-HR eliciting and non-virulent Pst hrpA strain. Ethylene production was monitored by LAPD (Fig. 2A). Significantly, Pst avrRpm1 initiated a biphasic pattern of ethylene production whose kinetics were very similar
to that seen in tobacco (compare Figs. 2A with 1D). Inoculations with *Pst* and *Pst hrpA* only displayed the first PAMP-dependent rise in ethylene production. Thus, these data establish that Arabidopsis can be used to investigate biphasic switch mechanism(s) in ethylene production during the HR and possibly defense priming. When considering such mechanisms, it is relevant to highlight the work of Foschi et al.\textsuperscript{13} who observed that biphasic activation of a monomeric G protein to cause phase-specific activation of different kinase cascades. Interestingly, ethylene has been noted to initiate biphasic activation of G proteins and kinases in Arabidopsis, although differing in kinetics to the phases seen during the HR.\textsuperscript{14} Further, plant defense priming has been associated with the increased accumulation of MAP kinase protein.\textsuperscript{6}

A further point requires consideration; the role of ethylene as a direct contributor to plant defense.\textsuperscript{15} The contribution of ethylene to the HR has been disputed,\textsuperscript{16} but in tobacco we have observed that altered ethylene production influenced the formation of a *P. syringae pv. phaseolicola* elicited HR.\textsuperscript{9} In Arabidopsis, cell death in the ethylene receptor mutant *etr1-1* following inoculation with *Pst avrRpm1* is delayed compared to wild type (Fig. 2B). When electrolyte leakage was used to quantify *Pst avrRpm1* cell death, both *etr1-1* and the ethylene insensitive signaling mutant *ein2-1* exhibited slower death than wild-type but in the ethylene overproducing mutant *eto2*, cell death was augmented (Fig. 2C). These data indicate that ethylene influences the kinetics of the HR.

Taking these data together we suggest that the complexity of signal interaction during the HR or in SAR/ISR could be further dissected by combining the genetic resources of Arabidopsis with measurements of ethylene production using such sensitive approaches as LAPD.
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